

THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$   
UNDER VIOLATIONS\*

by

K.C.S. Pillai

Department of Statistics

Division of Mathematical Sciences

Mimeograph Series No. 278

March, 1972

---

\* Research sponsored by the Air Force Aerospace Research Laboratories, Air Force Systems Command, U.S. Air Force, Contract F33615-72-C-1400. Reproduction in whole or in part permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Lemma 5. Let  $S(p \times p)$  be a matrix and  $T(p \times p)$  be a complex matrix whose real part is positive definite. Then for  $\gamma > -1$ .

$$(2.10) \quad L_{\kappa}^{\delta}(S) = \Gamma_p(\gamma+m, \kappa) \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)}} \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr } T} |T|^{-\gamma-m} C_{\kappa}(I-S T^{-1}) dT, \\ \text{(Constantine [2])},$$

where  $m = \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$  and  $L_{\kappa}^{\gamma}(S)$  is the generalized Laguerre polynomials defined by Constantine [2] as

$$(2.11) \quad e^{-\text{tr } S} L_{\kappa}^{\gamma}(S) = \int_{R > 0} e^{-\text{tr } R} |R|^{\gamma} C_{\kappa}(R) A_{\gamma}(R S) dR,$$

where  $A_{\gamma}(R)$  is the Bessel function of matrix argument defined by:

$$(2.12) \quad A_{\gamma}(R) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)}} \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr } T} e^{-\text{tr } R T^{-1}} |T|^{-\gamma-m} dT.$$

3. The distribution of latent roots  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ . The distribution of the latent roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  will be derived in this section when  $S_1(p \times p)$  is distributed  $W(p, n_1, \Sigma_1, \Omega)$  i.e. non-central Wishart distribution on  $n_1$  d.f. with non-centrality  $\Omega$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_1$  and  $S_2(p \times p)$  central Wishart  $W(p, n_2, \Sigma_2, 0)$ , where  $n_1, n_2 \geq p$ . Note that for  $n_1 \geq p$  we will have  $p$  non-zero latent roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ . When  $n_1 < p$ ,  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  has only  $n_1$  non-zero roots and the density function of the roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  can be obtained from that for  $n_1 \geq p$  if in the latter case the following changes are made:

$$(3.1) \quad (n_1, n_2, p) \rightarrow (p, n_1 + n_2 - p, n_1).$$

Therefore, here we only consider the case where  $n_1 \geq p$ .

where

$$\Lambda = \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & & \\ \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_2^{-1} & \\ \frac{1}{2} & & \end{matrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1(p, n_1, n_2) = \left\{ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_2}{2}\right) \right\}^{-1}$$

Now transform  $A_1 = A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} R A_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $A_2 = A_2$ . (The same notation is used to denote the matrix  $R$  both before and after diagonalization). The Jacobian is  $|A_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$ , and hence the joint density of  $R$  and  $A_2$  is

$$C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}n_2} e^{-\text{tr} R A_2} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \\ \cdot e^{-\text{tr} \Lambda A_2} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2-p-1)} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \Omega, A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} R A_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

The distribution is a symmetric function of  $\Omega$  (Constantine [2]). Hence we can transform  $\Omega \rightarrow H \Omega H'$  where  $H \in O(p)$ , and integrating over  $O(p)$  using Lemma 1, all the factors in the above expression remain the same except the hypergeometric function which now becomes  ${}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \Omega, R A_2\right)$ .

Further, expand  $e^{-\text{tr} R A_2} = {}_0F_0(-R A_2)$  and  ${}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \Omega, R A_2\right)$  in zonal polynomials using (2.2) and apply Lemma 2. The joint density of  $R$  and  $A_2$  becomes

$$C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}n_2} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} e^{-\text{tr} \Lambda A_2} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2-p-1)} \\ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\Omega)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right)_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(I_p) k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g_{\kappa, \nu}^{\delta} C_{\delta}(R A_2)}{n!}$$

Now integrate out  $A_2$  using Lemma 3 we have the density of  $R$  of the form

and making use of (2.4) and (2.8) we have the result as stated in the theorem. Q.E.D.

For  $\Omega = 0$ , since  $g_{0,v}^\delta = 1$  and  $\delta = v$ , the expression (3.2) gives the result stated by James [4] page 484 as a special case.

It seems that, the expression (3.2) is not convenient for further development and may not converge for all values of  $R$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$ . For further development we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let  $S_1, S_2, R$  and  $r_i$ 's be as stated in Theorem 1. Then the joint density function of  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  is given by

$$(3.7) \quad C(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega \Lambda} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |R|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} |I+\lambda R|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \binom{n_1+n_2}{\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}}_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\lambda R(I+\lambda R)^{-1})}{k!}$$

$$\sum_{d=0}^k \sum_{\delta} \frac{a_{\kappa, \delta} C_{\delta}(-\lambda^{-1} \Lambda^{-1}) L_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)}(\Omega)}{\binom{n_1}{2}_{\delta} C_{\delta}(I) C_{\delta}(I)}$$

where  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^2 \Sigma_{i-1}^{-1} \Sigma_{i-1}^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda$ , a positive real number,  $C(p, n_1, n_2)$  is as defined in (3.3),  $L_{\delta}^Y(S)$  is as in (2.11) and  $a_{\kappa, \delta}$  are constants (Constantine [2], Pillai and Jouris [7]).

Proof. We start from the expression (3.4). Now apply Lemma 4 to

${}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \Omega \Lambda, \Omega\right)$  to get the joint density of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  as

$$(3.8) \quad C_3(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_2} |R|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 - p - 1)} \\ \cdot \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr} T} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1} |I - W|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)} \\ |R + (I - W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda (I - W)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)} (dT)$$

where

$$C_3(p, n_1, n_2) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}\right) / [(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_2}{2}\right)]$$

Write

$$|R + (I - W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda (I - W)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)} \\ = |\Lambda| |I - W|^{-1} |I + R(I - W)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda^{-1} (I - W)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)}$$

then (3.8) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad C_3(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_2} |R|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 - p - 1)} \\ \cdot \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr} T} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1} |I + R(I - W)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda^{-1} (I - W)^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)} (dT)$$

Since  $R$  is symmetric we can diagonalize by an orthogonal transformation  $H$  and can use the same technique as before. After substitutions and making use of (3.5) and integrating over  $H$ , we will get the joint density of roots  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  of  $R$  in the form

$$(3.12) \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr}T} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\underline{I} - \lambda^{-1}(\underline{I} - \underline{W}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda^{-1}(\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C_{\kappa}(\underline{I})} dT$$

Let us use the relation (Constantine [2])

$$(3.13) \frac{C_{\kappa}(\underline{I} - S)}{C_{\kappa}(\underline{I})} = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\nu} (-1)^n a_{\kappa, \nu} \frac{C_{\nu}(S)}{C_{\nu}(\underline{I})}$$

where  $a_{\kappa, \nu}$  are constants discussed earlier. Then (3.12) becomes

$$(3.14) \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\nu} \frac{(-\lambda^{-1})^n a_{\kappa, \nu}}{C_{\nu}(\underline{I})} \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr}T} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} C_{\nu}(\Lambda^{-1}(\underline{I} - \underline{W})) dT$$

$\Lambda$  being symmetric we can transform  $\Lambda \rightarrow H \Lambda H'$  by an orthogonal transformation  $H$  and integrate over  $H$  using (3.6). Then (3.14) becomes

$$(3.15) \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\nu} \frac{(-\lambda^{-1})^n a_{\kappa, \nu} C_{\nu}(\Lambda^{-1})}{C_{\nu}(\underline{I}) C_{\nu}(\underline{I})} \int_{\text{Re}(T) > 0} e^{\text{tr}T} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} C_{\nu}(\underline{I} - T^{-1}\Omega) dT$$

Applying Lemma 5 to (3.15) gives

$$(3.16) \frac{(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}} \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\nu} \frac{a_{\kappa, \nu} C_{\nu}(-\lambda^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}) L_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1 - p - 1)}(\Omega)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{n_1}{2}, \nu) C_{\nu}(\underline{I}) C_{\nu}(\underline{I})}$$

Combining (3.11) - (3.16) and making use of (2.8) we have the result as stated in the theorem. Q.E.D.

Formula (3.7) will give special cases:

a) For  $\Omega = 0$ , it is seen from (2.12) that  $A_{\gamma}(0) = \{\Gamma_p(\gamma + \frac{p+1}{2})\}^{-1}$

(see also Herz [3] page 487) and from (2.11) and (2.7)

we have  $L_{\kappa}^{\delta}(0) = (\gamma + \frac{p+1}{2})_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(\underline{I})$ . Substituting  $L_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1 - p - 1)}(0)$

into (3.7) and making use of (3.13), we have the result of

Khatri [5].

## References

- [1] Constantine, A. G. (1963). Some non-central distribution problems in multivariate analysis. Ann. Math. Statist. 34, 1270-1285.
- [2] Constantine, A. G. (1966). The distribution of Hotelling's generalized  $T_0^2$ . Ann. Math. Statist. 37, 215-225.
- [3] Herz, C. S. (1955). Bessel functions of matrix argument. Ann. Math. 61, 474-523.
- [4] James, A. T. (1964). Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 475-501.
- [5] Khatri, C. G. (1967). Some distribution problems connected with the characteristic roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ . Ann. Math. Statist. 38, 944-948.
- [6] Khatri, C. G. and Pillai, K.C.S. (1968). On the non-central distributions of two test criteria in multivariate analysis of variance. Ann. Math. Statist. 39, 215-226.
- [7] Pillai, K.C.S. and Jouris, G. M. (1969). On the moments of elementary symmetric functions of the roots of two matrices. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 21, 309-320.
- [8] Pillai, K.C.S. and Sugiyama, T. (1969). Non-central distributions of the largest latent roots of three matrices in multivariate analysis. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 21, 321-327.

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R &amp; D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

Purdue University

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE

THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  UNDER VIOLATIONS

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Scientific Interim

5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

K. C. S. Pillai

6. REPORT DATE

March, 1972

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES

14

7b. NO. OF REFS

8

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.

F33615-72-C-1400

b. PROJECT NO.

7071-

c.

DoD Element 61102F

d.

DoD Subelement 681304

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

TECH OTHER

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Aerospace Research Laboratories (LB)  
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

13. ABSTRACT

The paper deals with the density of the characteristic roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  where  $S_1$  is distributed non-central Wishart  $W(p, n_1, \Sigma_1, \Omega)$  and  $S_2$ ,  $W(p, n_2, \Sigma_2, 0)$ . This is basic for an exact study of robustness of tests of at least two multivariate hypotheses.



THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$   
 UNDER VIOLATIONS\*

by

K.C.S. Pillai

Purdue University

1. Introduction. Consider the test of the following two hypotheses:  
 1) equality of covariance matrices in two  $p$ -variate normal populations  
 and 2) equality of  $p$ -dimensional mean vectors in  $2$   $p$ -variate normal  
 populations having a common covariance matrix. In order to carry out some  
 exact investigations of robustness of tests of 1) when the assumption of  
 normality is violated and of 2) when that of a common covariance matrix is  
 disturbed, a distribution problem is studied in this paper, namely, that of  
 the density of the characteristic roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ , where  $S_1$  is distributed  
 $W(p, n_1, \Sigma_1, \Omega)$  and  $S_2$ ,  $W(p, n_2, \Sigma_2, 0)$ , (See Section 3 for definitions). The  
 results of the robustness studies will be reported in a second report.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we state some results which will be  
 needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1. Let  $S(p \times p)$  and  $T(p \times p)$  be positive definite symmetric matrices.

Then

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{O(p)} F_q^r(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_r; S H T H') (dH) \\
 = F_q^r(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_r; S, T) \quad \text{(James [4])}$$

---

\* Research sponsored by the Air Force Aerospace Research Laboratories, Air Force Systems Command, U.S. Air Force, Contract F33615-72-C-1400. Reproduction in whole or in part permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

$$(2.6) \quad C_{\kappa}(S) C_{\sigma}(S) = \sum_{\delta} g_{\kappa, \sigma}^{\delta} C_{\sigma}(S)$$

where  $\delta = (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p \geq 0)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^p d_i = k + s$  and

$g_{\kappa, \sigma}^{\delta}$  are constants. (Constantine [2], (Pillai and Sugiyama [8])).

Tables of the coefficients  $g_{\kappa, \sigma}^{\delta}$  for various values of  $k$  and  $s$  can be found, for instance, in [6].

Lemma 3. Let  $R(p \times p)$  be a complex symmetric matrix whose real part is positive definite, and let  $T(p \times p)$  be an arbitrary complex symmetric matrix. Then

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{S > 0} e^{-\text{tr } R S} |S|^{t - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)} C_{\kappa}(S T) dS \\ = \Gamma_p(t, \kappa) |R|^{-t} C_{\kappa}(T R^{-1}), \quad (\text{Constantine [1]})$$

the integration being over the space of positive definite  $p \times p$  matrices, and valid for all complex numbers  $t$  such that  $\text{Re}(t) > \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ . The constant  $\Gamma_p(t, \kappa)$  is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma_p(t, \kappa) = \pi^{\frac{1}{4}p(p-1)} \prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(t + k_i - \frac{1}{2}(i-1)) = (t)_{\kappa} \Gamma_p(t)$$

Lemma 4. Let  $S(p \times p)$  be a positive definite symmetric matrix and  $T(p \times p)$  a complex matrix whose real part is positive definite symmetric. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}} \frac{\Gamma_p(b)}{\Gamma_p(b)} \int_{\text{Re}(T) = X_p > 0} e^{\text{tr } T} |T|^{-b} {}_q F_r(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_r; T^{-1} S) dT \\ = {}_q F_{r+1}(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_r, b; S), \quad (\text{James [4]})$$

Theorem 1. Let the  $p \times p$  matrices  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be independently distributed,  $S_1$  having  $W(p, n_1, \Sigma_1, \Omega)$  and  $S_2$  having  $W(p, n_2, \Sigma_2, 0)$ . Let  $R = \text{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ , where  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  are the roots of  $S_1 S_2^{-1}$  such that  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_p < \infty$ . Then the joint density function of  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  is given by

$$(3.2) \quad C(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega |A|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1}} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\Omega)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right)_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(I_p) k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g_{\kappa, \nu} \left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}\right)_{\delta} C_{\delta}(\Lambda^{-1}) C_{\delta}(R)}{C_{\delta}(I_p) n!},$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad C(p, n_1, n_2) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}\right) / \left[\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_2}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\right]$$

Proof. Joint density of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is

$$\left\{ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_2}{2}\right) |2\Sigma_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |2\Sigma_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_2} \right\}^{-1} e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma_1^{-1} S_1} |S_1|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma_2^{-1} S_2} |S_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1)} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_1^{-1} \Omega S_1\right)$$

Since the roots are invariant under the simultaneous transformations

$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_1 \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $A_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_2 \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have now the joint density of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ :

$$(3.4) \quad C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega |A|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_2}} e^{-\text{tr} A_1} |A_1|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} e^{-\text{tr} \Lambda A_2} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1)} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1; \Omega A_1\right),$$

$$C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\Omega)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right)_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(I_p) k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g_{\kappa, \nu}^{\delta} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}, \delta\right) C_{\delta}(R \Lambda^{-1})}{n!}$$

$R$  being symmetric, can be diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation  $H$  such that  $H R H' = \text{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$  where  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  are roots of  $R$ . For uniqueness, we assume that the elements in the first row of  $H$  are positive and the roots are arranged in the order  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_p < \infty$ . The volume element  $dR$  becomes (Constantine [1], James [4])

$$(3.5) \quad dR = \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j) \prod_{i=1}^p dr_i (dH)$$

Substituting  $R$  in the above expression and integrating over  $H$ , we have the joint density of  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  in the form

$$C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\Omega)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right)_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(I_p) k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g_{\kappa, \nu}^{\delta} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}, \delta\right)}{n!}$$

$$2^{-p} \int_{O(p)} C_{\delta}(HRH' \Lambda^{-1}) (dH)$$

The factor  $2^{-p}$  multiplying the integral arises from the restriction that the elements in the first row of  $H$  are positive. Finally we use the property of the zonal polynomials given by James [4] for normalized measure

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{O(p)} C_{\kappa}(H S H' T) (dH) = [C_{\kappa}(S) C_{\kappa}(T)] / C_{\kappa}(I_p)$$

$$C_2(p, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \underline{\Omega}} |\underline{\Lambda}|^{\frac{1}{2} n_2} e^{-\text{tr} \underline{\Lambda} \underline{A}_2} |\underline{A}_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1)} |\underline{A}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)}$$

$$\cdot \int_{\text{Re}(\underline{T}) = \underline{X}_0 > 0} e^{\text{tr} \underline{T}} |\underline{T}|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1} e^{-\text{tr}(\underline{I} + \underline{W}) \underline{A}_1} (d \underline{T}) ,$$

where

$$C_2(p, n_2) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)} / [(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)} \Gamma_p(\frac{n_2}{2})], \quad \underline{W} = \underline{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{T}^{-1} \underline{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and  $\underline{T} = \underline{X}_0 + i \underline{Y}$  with  $\underline{X}_0$  positive definite symmetric matrix and  $\underline{Y}$  a non-singular real symmetric matrix such that  $(\underline{I} - \underline{W})$  is nonsingular. The roots are invariant under the simultaneous transformations

$$(\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{A}_1 (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \underline{B}_1$$

and

$$(\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{A}_2 (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \underline{B}_2 .$$

Making substitutions in the above density and after taking the Jacobians into consideration, we obtain the joint density of  $\underline{B}_1$  and  $\underline{B}_2$  as follows:

$$C_2(p, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \underline{\Omega}} |\underline{\Lambda}|^{\frac{1}{2} n_2} e^{-\text{tr} \underline{B}_1} |\underline{B}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} |\underline{B}_2|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1)} \\ \cdot \int_{\text{Re}(\underline{T}) > 0} e^{\text{tr} \underline{T}} |\underline{T}|^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1} |\underline{I} - \underline{W}|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} e^{-\text{tr}(\underline{I} - \underline{W})} |\underline{I} - \underline{W}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\Lambda} (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{B}_2 (d \underline{T}) .$$

Apply the transformation  $\underline{B}_1 = \underline{B}_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{R} \underline{B}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\underline{B}_2 = \underline{B}_2$  and integrate out  $\underline{B}_2$  using (2.7) with  $\underline{T} = 0$  and also (2.8), then we have the density of  $\underline{R}$  in the form

$$(3.10) \quad C_3(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \underline{\Omega}} |\underline{\Lambda}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |\underline{R}|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j) \\ \cdot \int_{\text{Re}(\underline{T}) > 0} e^{\text{tr} \underline{T}} |\underline{T}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \int_{O(p)} 2^{-p} |\underline{I} + \underline{H} \underline{R} \underline{H}' (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} (d\underline{H}) (d\underline{T})$$

Following Khatri [5], we can write

$$|\underline{I} + \underline{H} \underline{R} \underline{H}' \underline{A}| = |\underline{I} + \lambda \underline{R}| |\underline{I} - (\underline{I} - \lambda^{-1} \underline{A}) \underline{H} (\lambda \underline{R}) (\underline{I} + \lambda \underline{R})^{-1} \underline{H}'|$$

where  $\lambda$  is a positive real number and in our case  $\underline{A} = (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

After making use of James [4]

$$\int_{O(p)} |\underline{I} - \underline{H} \underline{R} \underline{H}' \underline{A}|^{-a} (d\underline{H}) = {}_1F_0(a; \underline{A}, \underline{R})$$

and the formula (2.4), the expression (3.10) now becomes

$$(3.11) \quad C_4(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \underline{\Omega}} |\underline{\Lambda}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} |\underline{R}|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} |\underline{I} + \lambda \underline{R}|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i - r_j) \\ \cdot \int_{\text{Re}(\underline{T}) > 0} e^{\text{tr} \underline{T}} |\underline{T}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} {}_1F_0\left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}; \underline{I} - \lambda^{-1} (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\Lambda}^{-1} (\underline{I} - \underline{W})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \lambda \underline{R} (\underline{I} + \lambda \underline{R})^{-1}\right) d\underline{T},$$

where

$$C_4(p, n_1, n_2) = [2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p^2}] / [(2\pi i)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n_2}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)]$$

Now we can make use of (2.2) to expand  ${}_1F_0$  and integrate term by term. The expression (3.11) involves the integral of the form

b) For  $\Lambda = I$  and  $\lambda = 1$  and using the relation (Constantine [1966])

$$\frac{L_{\delta}^{\gamma}(S)}{(\gamma+m)_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}(I)} = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\nu} \frac{(-1)^n a_{\kappa, \nu} C_{\nu}(S)}{(\gamma+m)_{\nu} C_{\nu}(I)},$$

where  $m = \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$  whenever  $S$  is  $(p \times p)$  matrix and setting  $\nu = \delta = \kappa$  we have the result of Constantine [1], James [4].

I wish to acknowledge the assistance of Sudjana in the preparation of the paper.





14.

KEY WORDS

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

Distribution

Characteristic roots

Covariance matrices

MANOVA

Violations

Robustness