

ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE  
FOR THE WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

by

Robert Bartoszyński\* and Prem S. Puri\*\*  
Inst. of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa  
and  
Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

Mimeograph Series #81-29

Department of Statistics  
Division of Mathematical Sciences

July 1981

\*This research was done while this author was visiting the Department of Statistics and of Mathematics at Purdue University, Indiana. The support of these departments is gratefully acknowledged.

\*\*These investigations were supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. MCS-8102733.

ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE  
FOR THE WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

by

Robert Bartoszyński\* and Prem S. Puri\*\*  
Inst. of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa  
and  
Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables with the common distribution function  $F(t) = P(X \leq t)$ , and let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). In studying the rate of convergence in weak laws of large numbers, the convergence of the series

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n| \geq n\epsilon)$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , was found to be connected with the existence of second moment of  $X$  (see Hsu and Robbins [6], Erdős [3] or Révész [9]). In particular, Erdős [2] has shown that the series (1.1) converges for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , if and only if,  $EX^2$  and  $|EX| < \epsilon$ .

Subsequently, number of authors (notably Heyde and Rohatgi [5], Chow and Lai [2] and Lai and Lan [8]) analysed the convergence of the series

\*This research was done while this author was visiting the Departments of Statistics and of Mathematics at Purdue University, Indiana. The support of these departments is gratefully acknowledged.

\*\*These investigations were supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. MCS-8102733.

of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n P(|S_n| \geq a_n)$$

for various  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{a_n\}$ , again connecting it with the appropriate moment conditions.

Certain considerations arising in stochastic modeling for the growth of cancer tumors (see [1]), led us to the analysis of convergence of series of type (1.1) with the index of summation restricted to a subsequence.

The problem of this note may be formulated as follows. Let  $\{K_n\}$  be a sequence of integers satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad 1 \leq K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \dots$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = \infty.$$

Consider the series

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|S_{K_n}| \geq \varepsilon K_n\}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By grouping the terms corresponding to identical indices  $K_n$ , we may write (1.5) as

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n P\{|S_{b_n}| \geq \varepsilon b_n\}$$

where the sequences  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are defined by

$$(1.7) \quad c_0 = 0, \quad c_{n+1} = \min\{r: K_r > K_{c_n+1}\} - 1 - c_0 - \dots - c_n$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad b_{n+1} = K_{c_0+c_1+\dots+c_n+1}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ .

Note that since  $\lim K_n = \infty$  we have  $1 \leq c_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and  $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots$ .

We shall now drop the condition that  $c_n$ 's are integers, and consider generally the problem of convergence of series (1.6), where  $\{c_n\}$  is some sequence of positive real numbers and  $\{b_n\}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers.

Clearly, we have here an interplay of three types of conditions: (i) convergence of series (1.6), (ii) an appropriate moment condition and (iii) a condition imposing constraints on the behaviour of the sequences  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ . We shall prove three theorems, in each of them two among (i)-(iii) implying the third, with theorem 1 being valid for the general case where the random variables involved are not necessarily independent and identically distributed (I.I.D.).

## 2. THE RESULTS

We start by presenting a lemma due to von Bahr and Esséen, which will be needed below.

LEMMA 1. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a finite sequence of random variables. Denote  $S_i = Y_1 + \dots + Y_i$  and assume that  $E(Y_i | S_{i-1}) = 0$ ,  $E|Y_i|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , for some  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C(\lambda) > 0$ , such that

$$(2.1) \quad E|S_n|^{1+\lambda} \leq C(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n E|Y_i|^{1+\lambda} .$$

In fact, as pointed out by Rubin [10], we have

$$(2.2) \quad C(\lambda) = \sup_x \left[ \frac{|1+x|^{1+\lambda} - 1 - (1+\lambda)x}{|x|^{1+\lambda}} \right]$$

with  $1 \leq C(\lambda) \leq 2$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .

We shall first prove

THEOREM 1. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables with  
 $E(Y_i | S_{i-1}) = 0$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots$ , where  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_i = Y_1 + \dots + Y_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots$ .

Assume that for some sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  with  $0 < \lambda_n \leq 1$  we have  $E|Y_i|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$ ,  
 $i=1,2,\dots$ , where  $\lambda = \sup_n \lambda_n$ , and the sequences  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  satisfy the  
condition

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \bar{\theta}_n b_n^{-\lambda} < \infty$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=1}^{b_n} E|Y_j|^{1+\lambda_n}.$$

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n P\{|Y_1 + \dots + Y_{b_n}| \geq \varepsilon b_n\} < \infty.$$

Proof. We may estimate the terms of the series in (2.5), using Markov inequality and Lemma 1, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad c_n P\{|Y_1 + \dots + Y_{b_n}| \geq \epsilon b_n\} &= c_n P\{|S_{b_n}|^{1+\lambda_n} \geq (\epsilon b_n)^{1+\lambda_n}\} \\
 &\leq c_n \frac{E|S_{b_n}|^{1+\lambda_n}}{(\epsilon b_n)^{1+\lambda_n}} \\
 &\leq c_n C(\lambda_n) \bar{\theta}_n b_n^{-\lambda_n} \cdot \epsilon^{-(1+\lambda_n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows from (2.3), since  $\sup_n C(\lambda_n) \leq 2$ , and  $\epsilon^{-(1+\lambda_n)} \leq \epsilon^{-1}$  or  $\epsilon^{-2}$ , depending on whether  $\epsilon < 1$  or  $\epsilon \geq 1$ .  $\square$

In particular, in the case of I.I.D. random variables  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  we obtain

COROLLARY 1. Assume that  $E|X|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$  for some  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . Moreover, let  $EX = 0$ , and assume that the sequences  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  satisfy the condition

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n b_n^{-\lambda} < \infty.$$

Then the series (1.6) converges for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Observe that for  $\tau < 0$ , if we put  $c_n = n^\tau$ ,  $b_n = n$  and  $\lambda = 1 + \tau$ , we obtain the sufficiency part of Theorem 1 of Katz [7].

We shall now prove

THEOREM 2. Assume that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n > 0$ . If for some  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n+1}^\lambda (b_{n+1} - b_n)}{c_n b_n} < \infty$$

and the series (1.6) converges for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $E|X|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$  and  
 $|EX| < \varepsilon$ .

Proof. Using the inequality (see Feller [4], p.149)

$$(2.9) \quad P\{|X_1 + \dots + X_n| \geq t\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - e^{-n[1-F(t)+F(-t)]}\right)$$

we infer from the convergence of series (1.6) that

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \left(1 - e^{-b_n[1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)]}\right) < \infty.$$

Since  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c_n$ 's are bounded away from 0 for  $n$  large enough, we must have

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n [1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)] = 0$$

and hence

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n b_n [1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)] < \infty.$$

Again, (see Feller [4], p.151), we have  $E|X|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$  iff

$$(2.13) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^\lambda [1-F(x)+F(-x)] dx < \infty.$$

Also, from (2.8) it follows that for some constant  $M$  we have

$$(2.14) \quad b_{n+1}^\lambda (b_{n+1} - b_n) \leq M c_n b_n, \quad n=1,2,\dots$$

Since the sequence  $\{b_n\}$  is strictly increasing, while  $1 - F(t) + F(-t)$  is nonincreasing, we bound the integral in (2.13) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad \int_0^\infty x^\lambda [1-F(x)+F(-x)]dx &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\epsilon b_{n+1})^\lambda [1-F(\epsilon b_n)+F(-\epsilon b_n)](b_{n+1}-b_n) + (\epsilon b_1)^{1+\lambda} \\
 &\leq M\epsilon^\lambda \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n b_n [1-F(\epsilon b_n)+F(-\epsilon b_n)] + (\epsilon b_1)^{1+\lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

The fact that the last sum is finite in view of (2.12), implies that  $E|X|^{1+\lambda} < \infty$ .

Let  $\mu = EX$ . In the case  $|\mu| > \epsilon$ , we can find an interval of the form  $(\mu-\delta, \mu+\delta) \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^c$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , such that by the weak law of large numbers we have

$$(2.16) \quad 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_{b_n}}{b_n} - \mu \right| < \delta \right\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_{b_n}}{b_n} \right| \geq \epsilon \right\}.$$

This means that the series (1.6) cannot converge, since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n > 0$ , leading thereby to a contradiction. The argument in the case with  $|\mu| = \epsilon$  being similar, proves that we must have  $|EX| < \epsilon$ . □

For the next theorem we shall use the following lemma (see Feller [4], p.277).

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $U$  is a monotone function such that

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\lambda_n U(a_n x)] = \chi(x) \leq \infty$$

exists on a dense set, and  $\chi$  is finite and positive in some interval, then  $U$  varies regularly and  $\chi(x) = cx^\rho$  for some  $-\infty < \rho < \infty$ .

We shall now prove

THEOREM 3. Let  $b_n/b_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ . Assume that for some  $\lambda > 0$

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1+\lambda} [1-F(x)+F(-x)]$$



exists and is positive, say equal to c. Then the convergence of series (1.6) for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  implies (2.7).

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, convergence of (1.6) implies (2.12). Let us write the series in (2.12) as

$$(2.19) \quad \sum c_n b_n [1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)] = \sum (c_n b_n^{-\lambda}) \left\{ b_n^{1+\lambda} [1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)] \right\}.$$

We now apply Lemma 2 with  $\lambda_n = b_n^{1+\lambda}$ ,  $a_n = b_n$ ,  $U(t) = 1 - F(t) + F(-t)$  and  $x = \varepsilon$ . As a result,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n U(a_n x)$  becomes  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{1+\lambda} [1-F(\varepsilon b_n)+F(-\varepsilon b_n)]$ ,

which exists and is positive in view of the assumption of the theorem.

Consequently, the latter limit equals  $c\varepsilon^\rho$  for some  $\rho$ . In fact, replacing  $x$  by  $\varepsilon x$  in (2.18) we infer that  $\rho = -(1+\lambda)$ . From the convergence of (2.19) it follows now that  $\sum c_n b_n^{-\lambda} < \infty$ , as asserted.  $\square$

As an example, consider the case when  $X$  has the central t-distribution with 2 degrees of freedom, so that  $EX^2 = \infty$  and  $E|X| < \infty$ . Here the limit (2.18) exists with  $\lambda = 1$  and  $c = 1/2$ , so that Theorem 3 applies.

Note that since the sequence  $\{b_n\}$  is strictly increasing, the condition (2.8) may be written as

$$(2.20) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n b_n^{-\lambda}}{(b_{n+1}/b_n)^\lambda \left( \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} - 1 \right)} > 0.$$

Now, if (2.7) holds, then  $c_n b_n^{-\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ , so that condition (2.20) (and hence (2.8)) may hold only if  $b_{n+1}/b_n \rightarrow 1$ .

Let us also note that the existence of the positive limit (2.18) implies  $E|X|^{1+\lambda} = \infty$ , although  $E|X|^{1+\sigma} < \infty$ , for all  $0 < \sigma < \lambda$ . Conversely, if

$$(2.21) \quad \sigma_0 = \sup \left\{ \sigma: \int_0^{\infty} x^{\sigma} [1-F(x)+F(-x)] dx < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^{\sigma_0} [1-F(x)+F(-x)] dx = \infty ,$$

then

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1+\sigma} [1-F(x)+F(-x)] = 0$$

for all  $\sigma < \sigma_0$ . Here we cannot say that the limit (2.23) is positive or 0 in the case with  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ .

### References

- [1] R. Bartoszyński and P.S. Puri. On Two Classes of Interacting Stochastic Processes Arising in Cancer Modeling, Mimeograph Series #81-28, Department Statistics, Purdue University, (1981).
- [2] Y.S. Chow, and T.L. Lai. Some One-Sided Theorems on the Tail Distribution of Sample Sums with Applications to the Last Time and Largest Excess of Boundary Crossings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208, (1975) 51-72.
- [3] P. Erdős. On a Theorem of Hsu and Robbins. Annals of Math. Statist., 20, (1949) 286-291.
- [4] W. Feller. An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. 2, (1971) Wiley, New York.
- [5] C.C. Heyde and V.K. Rohatgi. A Pair of Complementary Theorems on Convergence Rates in the Law of Large Numbers. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 63, (1967) 73-82.
- [6] P.L. Hsu and H. Robbins. Complete Convergence and the Law of Large Numbers. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 33 (2), (1947) 25-31.
- [7] M.L. Katz. The Probability in the Tail of a Distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 34, (1963) 312-318.
- [8] T.L. Lai and K.K. Lan. On the Last Time and the Number of Boundary Crossings Related to the Strong Law of Large Numbers and the Law of Iterated Logarithm. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 34, (1976) 59-71.
- [9] P. Révész. The Laws of Large Numbers. Academic Press, New York. (1968).
- [10] H. Rubin. (1981) Personal communication.
- [11] B. Von Bahr and C.G. Esséen. Inequalities for the  $r$ 'th Absolute Moment of a Sum of Random Variables,  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ . Ann. Math. Statist. 36, (1965) 299-303.