

$\beta$ -EXPANSIONS WITH DELETED DIGITS FOR PISOT NUMBERS  $\beta$

by

Steven P. Lalley  
Purdue University

Technical Report #95-28

Department of Statistics  
Purdue University

June 1995

# $\beta$ -EXPANSIONS WITH DELETED DIGITS FOR PISOT NUMBERS $\beta$

STEVEN P. LALLEY  
PURDUE UNIVERSITY

**ABSTRACT.** An algorithm is given for computing the Hausdorff dimension of the set  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta, D)$  of real numbers with representations  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \beta^{-n}$ , where each  $d_n \in D$ , a finite set of "digits", and  $\beta > 0$  is a Pisot number. The Hausdorff dimension is shown to be  $\log \lambda / \log \beta$ , where  $\lambda$  is the top eigenvalue of a finite 0-1 matrix  $A$ , and a simple algorithm for generating  $A$  from the data  $\beta, D$  is given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This note concerns the set(s)  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta, D)$  of real numbers with representations  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \beta^{-n}$ , where each  $d_n \in D$ , a finite set of "digits", and  $\beta > 0$ . These sets have been the subject of several recent studies. Keane, Smorodinsky, and Solomyak [3] considered the special case  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $\beta \in (2.5, 3)$ : they showed that although for almost every  $\beta \in (2.5, 3)$  the Hausdorff dimension of  $\Lambda$  is 1, there is a sequence  $\beta_k$  of algebraic integers in  $(2.5, 3)$  such that the dimension of  $\Lambda$  is less than 1. Pollicott and Simon [5] extended the results of [3] by showing, among other things, that for  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $\beta \in (2.5, 3)$  set of discontinuities  $\beta$  of the Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_H(\Lambda)$  is dense in this interval. These discontinuities are at algebraic numbers  $\beta$ .<sup>1</sup>

The main result of this paper is that the Hausdorff dimension of  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\beta, D)$  is computable provided  $\beta$  is a Pisot number  $\beta$  (arbitrary) and  $D$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}[\beta]$ . In particular, it will be shown that

$$(1) \quad \dim_H(\Lambda) = \frac{\log \lambda(\beta, D)}{\log \beta},$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda(\beta, D)$  is the largest eigenvalue of a certain 0-1 matrix  $A = A(\beta, D)$  (and, therefore,  $\lambda$  is itself an algebraic integer). Moreover, it will be shown that for the Hausdorff measure in the critical dimension  $\delta = \log \lambda / \log \beta$ ,

$$(2) \quad 0 < H_{\delta}(\Lambda) < \infty.$$

A simple algorithm for computing the matrix  $A$  from the data  $\beta, D$  will be provided. Thus, (1) permits (in theory!) the computation of  $\dim_H(\Lambda)$  to any degree of accuracy. In the

---

*Date.* April 24, 1995.

*Key words and phrases.* Hausdorff dimension, entropy, Pisot number.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-9307855.

<sup>1</sup>It is not known if there are discontinuities at transcendental values of  $\beta$ .

special case  $\beta = 1 + \sqrt{3}$  and  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$  (the most difficult case considered in [3]), Eq. (1) may be used to obtain the approximation (and rigorous upper bound)

$$(3) \quad \dim_H(\Lambda) \approx 0.485923$$

## 2. FINITE APPROXIMATIONS TO $\Lambda$

For the remainder of the paper  $\beta > 1$  will be a fixed Pisot number with algebraic conjugates  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d$  and minimal polynomial  $p(x) = x^d - \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k x^k$ , where each  $a_k$  is an integer and  $d \geq 2$ . (Recall that a *Pisot number* is an algebraic integer all of whose algebraic conjugates lie inside the unit disk.) The set  $D$  of *digits* will be an arbitrary finite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}[\beta]$  (the set of all integer polynomial expressions in  $\beta$ ) of cardinality at least 2; the maximum absolute value of an element of  $D$  will be denoted by  $h$ . Define

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \beta^{-n} : d_n \in D \right\};$$

$$\Lambda_m = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n} : d_n \in D \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Note:** In fact, the arguments below are valid in somewhat greater generality: the digit set  $D$  may be any subset of  $\mathbf{Z}[\beta]^k$ , for any  $k \geq 1$ . In this case, the set  $\Lambda$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . For the sake of simplicity, only the case  $k = 1$  will be discussed here.

The finite sets  $\Lambda_m$  should be thought of as discrete approximations to  $\Lambda$ . (It can be shown that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Lambda_m \rightarrow \Lambda$  in the Hausdorff metric, but this fact will not be needed.) The growth of the sets  $\Lambda_m$  determines the Hausdorff dimension of  $\Lambda$ , as the following propositions show.

**Proposition 1.** *There exists a constant  $0 < \lambda \leq \beta$  such that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$|\Lambda_m|^{\frac{1}{m}} \rightarrow \lambda.$$

*Proof.* It is easily seen that  $|\Lambda_{m+n}| \leq |\Lambda_m| |\Lambda_n|$ . Consequently, the existence of the limit follows by the fundamental subadditivity lemma. That  $\lambda \leq \beta$  follows from Theorem 1 below, and that  $\lambda > 0$  follows from Corollary 2 in section 5, which shows that  $\lambda$  is the top eigenvalue of a certain 0-1 matrix.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.**  $\dim_H(\Lambda) = \log \lambda / \log \beta$ .

The proof will be accomplished in sec. 6 below. The first step is the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *The box dimension  $\dim_B(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  satisfies*

$$\dim_B(\Lambda) \leq \frac{\log \lambda}{\log \beta}.$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 1. For each  $m \geq 1$  let  $\mathcal{U}_m$  be the collection of intervals  $[x - \kappa\beta^{-m}, x + \kappa\beta^{-m}]$  where  $x \in \Lambda_m$  and  $\kappa = 2h/(\beta - 1)$ . This is a covering of  $\Lambda$  by  $|\Lambda_m|$  intervals of radius  $\kappa\beta^{-m}$ . The advertised inequality for  $\dim_B(\Lambda)$  therefore follows from Proposition 1.  $\square$

Since the box dimension of a set always dominates its Hausdorff dimension, the lemma implies that

$$(4) \quad \dim_H(\Lambda) \leq \frac{\log \lambda}{\log \beta}.$$

Thus, to complete the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to establish the reverse inequality. It is in this direction that the hypothesis that  $\beta$  is a Pisot number will be used. (Note that neither the existence of the limit in Proposition 1 nor the inequality for the box dimension in Lemma 1 required this hypothesis.) The following lemma is the only part of the argument where the Pisot property is explicitly needed.

**Lemma 2.** (*Separation Lemma*) *There exists a constant  $C = C(\beta, D) > 0$  with the following property. For any  $m \geq 1$  and any two distinct elements  $x, y$  of  $\Lambda_m$ ,*

$$|x - y| \geq C\beta^{-m}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider only the case where  $D \subset \mathbf{Z}$ . This is because any polynomial expression  $\sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n}$  whose coefficients  $d_n$  lie in a finite subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{Z}[\beta]$  may be rewritten as a polynomial expression  $\sum_{n=1}^{m+r} d'_n \beta^{-n}$  whose coefficients  $d'_n$  are all elements of a finite set  $D'$  of integers ( $r$  and  $D'$  depend only on  $D$ , not on  $m$  or the particular choice of  $\sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n}$ ). Let  $x = \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n}$  and  $y = \sum_{n=1}^m d'_n \beta^{-n}$  be distinct elements of  $\Lambda_m$ . Set

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m (d_n - d'_n) x^{m-n};$$

then  $F(\beta) \neq 0$ , and consequently  $F(\beta_j) \neq 0$  for each of the algebraic conjugates  $\beta_j$  of  $\beta$ . Recall that the coefficients  $d_n - d'_n$  are uniformly bounded in absolute value by a finite constant  $2h$ . Since  $\beta$  is a Pisot number,  $|\beta_j| < 1$  for each  $j$ , and consequently  $|F(\beta_j)| \leq 2h/(1 - |\beta_j|)$ . But the coefficients  $d_n - d'_n$  of  $F$  are integers, so

$$F(\beta) \prod_{j=2}^d F(\beta_j) \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}.$$

It follows that  $|F(\beta)| \geq (\prod_{j=2}^d (1 - |\beta_j|))/(2h)^{d-1}$ .

□

### 3. THE ASSOCIATED DIGRAPH

The relation between the finite sets  $\Lambda_m$  and the infinite set  $\Lambda$  may be visualized with the aid of the directed graph  $\mathcal{G}$  whose vertex set is  $\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda_m \times \{m\})$  (with  $\Lambda_0 = \{0\}$ ) and whose directed edges are

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n}, m \right) \longrightarrow \left( \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n} + d' \beta^{-n-1}, m+1 \right), \quad d' \in D.$$

The second coordinate  $m$  of a vertex will be called its *depth*, and the digit  $d'$  will be called the *color* of the directed edge (note that  $d'$  is independent of the representations chosen for the two vertices in question). Observe that the directed edges of  $\mathcal{G}$  only connect successive depths  $m, m+1$ , so any path in  $\mathcal{G}$  (a sequence of vertices, each successive pair connected by a directed edge) can only go “down”. More precisely, if  $\langle v_n \rangle_{n \geq 1} = \langle (x_n, n) \rangle_{n \geq 1}$  is an infinite

path in  $\mathcal{G}$  starting from the root vertex  $(0,0)$  then there is a sequence of digits  $d_n$  such that for each  $m$ ,

$$x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n};$$

consequently,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$  where  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \beta^{-n}$ . We will say that such a path *converges* to  $x$ . Thus, the *boundary*  $\partial\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is identified with the set  $\Lambda$ . (Note, however, that a point  $x \in \Lambda$  may have more than one such representation, so there will in general be many paths converging to the same limit point.)

For any finite sequence  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_m$  of digits (elements of  $D$ ), let  $v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m)$  denote the vertex  $(\sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n}, m)$ . Note that for any given vertex there may be several representations  $v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m)$ . For any two vertices  $x = v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m)$  and  $y = v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_m)$  at the same depth  $m$ , define their  $\mathcal{G}$ -distance  $\rho(x, y)$  by

$$\rho(x, y) = \beta^m \left| \sum_{n=1}^m (d_n - d'_n) \beta^{-n} \right|.$$

Define the constant

$$\kappa = 2h/(\beta - 1).$$

For any vertex  $x$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  define its *neighborhood*  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  to be the set of vertices  $y$  at the same depth such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq \kappa$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $d_1 d_2 \dots$  and  $d'_1 d'_2 \dots$  be arbitrary sequences of digits. If*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_m)$$

*then for every  $m \geq 1$ ,*

$$v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_m) \in \mathcal{N}(v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m)).$$

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \beta^{-n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d'_n \beta^{-n}$ . Since digits are bounded in absolute value by  $h$ , it follows that for each  $m$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \beta^{-n} - \sum_{n=1}^m d'_n \beta^{-n} \right| \leq 2h\beta^{-m}/(\beta - 1).$$

This is equivalent to the conclusion of the lemma, by the definition of  $\kappa$  and  $\rho$ .  $\square$

**Note:** If the elements of the digit set  $D$  are all nonnegative then the bound  $2h\beta^{-m}/(\beta - 1)$  in the preceding argument could be improved to  $h\beta^{-m}/(\beta - 1)$ . In this case, we could use  $\kappa = h/(\beta - 1)$  instead of  $\kappa = 2h/(1 - \beta)$ . This reduction can make a large difference in the size of the set of neighborhood types.

Say that two vertices  $x, y$  (not necessarily at the same depth) have the same *neighborhood type* if there is a bijective mapping between  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(y)$  that preserves the distance function  $\rho$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all neighborhood types in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.**  *$\mathcal{T}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* For any two digit sequences  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_m$  and  $d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_k$  there is a distance-preserving inclusion

$$\mathcal{N}(v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_k)).$$

This is because there is a replica of  $\mathcal{G}$  embedded in  $\mathcal{G}$  emanating from the vertex  $v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m)$ . Consequently, for any infinite sequence  $d_1 d_2 \dots$  there is a chain of distance-preserving inclusions

$$\mathcal{N}(v(d_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(v(d_2 d_1)) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(v(d_m \dots d_2 d_1)) \rightarrow \dots$$

By the Separation Lemma, all such chains stabilize, because no neighborhood can contain more than  $4h/C(\beta - 1) + 1$  distinct vertices. It follows by a routine argument that there are only finitely many neighborhood types.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}$  and  $d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_{m+1}$  be arbitrary sequences of digits of length  $m + 1$ . If*

$$\rho(v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}), v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_{m+1})) \leq \kappa$$

then

$$\rho(v(d_1 d_2 \dots d_m), v(d'_1 d'_2 \dots d'_m)) \leq \kappa.$$

*Proof.* If the last inequality were not true then  $|\sum_{n=1}^m (d_n - d'_n)\beta^{-n}| > \kappa\beta^{-m}$ . Because the digits  $d_n, d'_n$  are bounded in modulus by  $h$ , it would then follow from the triangle inequality that

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} (d_n - d'_n)\beta^{-n} \right| > \kappa\beta^{-m} - 2h\beta^{-m-1} \geq \kappa\beta^{-m-1},$$

by definition of  $\kappa$ . This would contradict the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *For any vertices  $v, v'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $v \rightarrow v'$ , the neighborhood type of  $v'$  is completely determined by that of  $v$  and the color of the directed edge  $v \rightarrow v'$ .*

For the sake of computation it will be necessary to have an algorithm for enumerating the set  $\mathcal{T}$  of neighborhood types. For this purpose it is best to think of a neighborhood type as a finite set of real numbers contained in  $[-\kappa, \kappa]$  with 0 as an element. (Thus, for a vertex  $(x, m)$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , the neighborhood type is the set  $\{\beta^m(x' - x) : x' \in \mathcal{N}((x, m))\}$ . The neighborhood type of the root node  $(0, 0)$  is the set  $\{0\}$ .) Define the *offspring* of a neighborhood type  $\tau$  to be those neighborhood types  $\tau'$  such that for some directed edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $v$  has type  $\tau$  and  $v'$  has type  $\tau'$ . The offspring of  $\tau$  may be enumerated without actually searching the graph for vertices of type  $\tau$ : they are, for  $d' \in D$ , the finite sets

$$\{\beta x + (d'' - d') : x \in \tau, d'' \in D\} \cap [-\kappa, \kappa].$$

An algorithm for listing the members of  $\mathcal{T}$  follows:

```

begin
   $\mathcal{T} := \{\{0\}\};$ 
   $\mathcal{S} := \{\{0\}\};$ 
  while  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$  do
    begin
       $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{S};$ 
       $\mathcal{S} := \{\text{offspring of } \mathcal{S}\};$ 
       $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{T};$ 
    end
  return  $\mathcal{T}$ 
end

```

That this algorithm does in fact generate the entire set  $\mathcal{T}$  of neighborhood types follows from Corollary 1 and the fact that for every neighborhood type  $\tau$  there is a path in  $\mathcal{G}$  from the root vertex  $(0,0)$  to a vertex of neighborhood type  $\tau$ .

#### 4. ADMISSIBLE PATHS

Each directed edge (arrow) of the digraph  $\mathcal{G}$  may be labelled by triples  $(\tau, \tau', d')$ , where  $\tau, \tau'$  are the neighborhood types of the initial and terminal vertices, respectively, and  $d'$  is the color (digit) of the edge. The set of labels is finite, since both  $\mathcal{T}$  (the set of neighborhood types) and  $D$  (the set of colors) are finite. Say that a label  $(\tau, \tau', d')$  is *admissible* if there are vertices  $v, v'$  of the digraph  $\mathcal{G}$  such that

- (1)  $v \rightarrow v'$  is an edge of  $\mathcal{G}$ ;
- (2) the neighborhood types of  $v, v'$  are  $\tau, \tau'$ ; and
- (3) among all edges  $v'' \rightarrow v'$  ending at  $v'$ , the edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  has the smallest color.

(Recall that the set of edge colors is the digit set  $D$ , which is naturally ordered as a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . However, *any* order on the set of colors would work.) Note that this definition is independent of the choice of  $v, v'$  in the following sense: if there are vertices  $v, v'$  such that (1)-(3) hold, and if  $w, w'$  are vertices such that there is an edge  $w \rightarrow w'$  with the same label as the edge  $v \rightarrow v'$ , then (1)-(3) hold for the pair  $w, w'$ . This follows from Lemma 5 and Corollary 1. Call a path  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  *admissible* if every edge in  $\gamma$  has an admissible label. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the set of admissible labels.

**Lemma 6.** *For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  there is a unique admissible path  $\gamma$  from the root vertex  $(0,0)$  to  $v$ .*

*Proof.* This is certainly true for vertices  $v$  at depth 1, because for each such vertex there is only one edge terminating at  $v$ , namely  $(0,0) \rightarrow v$ , and this, by its uniqueness, has minimal color and therefore an admissible label. Suppose that the statement is true for all vertices at depth  $m \geq 1$ ; we will show that it must then be true also for all vertices at depth  $m + 1$ .

Let  $v'$  be any vertex at depth  $m + 1$ . There is at least one directed edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  with  $v$  a vertex at depth  $m$ ; consequently, there is a unique edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  with smallest color. By definition of admissibility,  $v \rightarrow v'$  has an admissible label, and any other arrow  $v'' \rightarrow v'$  terminating at  $v'$  has an inadmissible label. Thus, if there is an admissible path from the root vertex  $(0,0)$  to  $v'$  then its final step must be  $v \rightarrow v'$ . But by the induction hypothesis there is a unique admissible path  $\gamma$  from the root vertex  $(0,0)$  to  $v$ . The path obtained by adjoining the edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  to  $\gamma$  is clearly admissible, and it is the only possible such path.  $\square$

Note that it is not *a priori* impossible that for a given pair of neighborhood types  $\tau, \tau'$  there be admissible labels  $(\tau, \tau', d)$  of more than one color  $d$ . In special cases (e.g., for  $\beta_2$ , the largest solution of  $x^2 - 2x - 2 = 0$ , and  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ ) it happens that for any given pair  $\tau, \tau'$  there is at most one admissible label connecting them; in such cases the size of the incidence matrix defined below may be reduced. We have not been able to show, however, that this is *always* the case.

#### 5. THE INCIDENCE MATRIX

The incidence matrix  $A$  (the 0-1 matrix whose lead eigenvalue appears in equation (1)) has rows and columns indexed by the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of admissible labels. For any two admissible labels  $l = (\tau, \tau', d')$  and  $l' = (\tau'', \tau''', d'')$  the  $l, l'$  entry of  $A$  is 1 if  $\tau' = \tau''$  and 0 otherwise.

The matrix  $A$  determines a shift of finite type  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$ . Here  $\Sigma_A$  is the sequence space consisting of all one-sided sequences  $l_1 l_2 \dots$  with entries in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that for every  $n$ , the  $(l_n, l_{n+1})$  entry of  $A$  is 1. Observe that for every infinite admissible path in  $\mathcal{G}$  the corresponding sequence of edge labels is an element of  $\Sigma_A$ . Conversely, for every element  $l_1 l_2 \dots$  of  $\Sigma_A$  and every edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  with label  $l_1$  there is a unique admissible path with initial step  $v \rightarrow v'$  for which the corresponding sequence of edge labels is  $l_1 l_2 \dots$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $l, l' \in \mathcal{L}$  be admissible labels, and let  $v \rightarrow v'$  be an edge of  $\mathcal{G}$  with label  $l$ . Then the number of admissible paths of length  $m + 1$  with first step  $v \rightarrow v'$  and final step labelled  $l'$  is  $A_{l,l'}^m$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 0$  is trivial. Suppose the result is true for some  $m \geq 0$ ; then for each  $l'' \in \mathcal{L}$  the number of length  $m$  admissible paths with first step  $v \rightarrow v'$  and last step labelled  $l''$  is  $A_{l,l''}^m$ . Now  $A_{l'',l'} = 1$  iff the neighborhood type  $\tau$  of the tail of  $l''$  is the same as that of the head of  $l'$ , and  $A_{l'',l'} = 0$  otherwise. Thus, for any admissible path with last step  $v'' \rightarrow v'''$  labelled  $l''$  the number of edges with label  $l'$  emanating from  $v'''$  is  $A_{l'',l'}$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis, the number of admissible paths of length  $m + 1 \geq 1$  with first step  $v \rightarrow v'$  and final step labelled  $l'$  is

$$\sum_{l'' \in \mathcal{L}} A_{l,l''}^m A_{l'',l'} = A_{l,l'}^{m+1}.$$

□

For any  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  denote by  $v_l$  the vector in  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{L}}$  with  $l$ th entry 1 and all other entries 0. Let  $\tau_*$  be the neighborhood type of the root vertex  $(0,0)$ , and let  $u_* \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{L}}$  be the vector with  $l$ th entry 1 if  $l$  is a label of the form  $(\tau_*, \tau', d')$  and 0 otherwise. Let  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{L}}$  be the vector with all entries 1.

**Corollary 2.**  $|\Lambda_m| = u_*^t A^{m-1} \mathbf{1}$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 7 and 6. □

Define  $\lambda > 0$  to be the spectral radius of  $A$ . By the Perron-Frobenius theorem,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . For every  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $u_* A^m v_l > 0$  entry of  $A$  is positive, because for every  $l$  there is an edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  with label  $l$ , and by Lemma 6 there is an admissible path from the root vertex to  $v$ . Consequently, by Corollary 2,

$$(5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\Lambda_m|^{1/m} = \lambda.$$

This gives another proof of Proposition 1, and shows that the limit  $\lambda$  is strictly positive.

## 6. MAXIMUM ENTROPY MEASURES

It is well known that the shift  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$  has an invariant probability measure of maximal entropy (see Parry [4] and/or Bowen [1]), and that under such a measure the coordinate process is a Markov chain. Let  $\mathbf{P} = (p_{\tau, \tau'})$  be the transition probability matrix of this Markov chain. Since we have not shown that  $A$  is aperiodic and irreducible, we cannot conclude the uniqueness of either the maximum entropy measure or the transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ . However, there is at least one, and for this  $\mathbf{P}$ , there are positive constants  $C > c > 0$  such that for all  $\tau, \tau'$  in a recurrent class  $\mathcal{R}$  and all sufficiently large  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(6) \quad c\lambda^{-n} \leq p_{\tau, \tau'}^{(n)} \leq C\lambda^{-n}.$$

This follows from of [1].



The transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  may be used to define a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Lambda$  as follows. Select any edge  $v \rightarrow v'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  whose label  $l$  is an element of the recurrent class  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be a Markov chain with initial state  $Y_0 = l$  and transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ ; note that with probability 1 the sequence  $Y_0 Y_1 Y_2 \dots$  is an element of  $\Sigma_A$ . The sequence  $Y_0 Y_1 Y_2 \dots$  determines a random path  $X_0 X_1 X_2 \dots$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  (each  $X_n$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{G}$ ) starting at  $X_0 = v$  and whose first step  $X_0 \rightarrow X_1$  is  $v \rightarrow v'$ . The random path  $X_0 X_1 X_2 \dots$  converges to a random point  $Z$  of  $\Lambda$  (recall that the boundary of the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  may be naturally identified with  $\Lambda$ ). The random variable  $Z$  induces a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Lambda$  (the “distribution” of  $Z$ ) by

$$\mu(A) = P\{Z \in A\}.$$

(NOTE: There is a different measure  $\mu$  for each choice of initial edge  $v \rightarrow v'$ . For our purposes, any such choice will suffice, as only Eq. (6) will be needed.)

**Lemma 8.** For  $\mu$  - a.e.  $x$ ,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu([x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon])}{\log \varepsilon} = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log \beta}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu([x - \kappa\beta^{-n}, x + \kappa\beta^{-n}]) = \log \lambda$$

for  $\mu$  - a.e.  $x$ . We may restrict attention to those  $x \in \Lambda = \partial\mathcal{G}$  for which there is an (infinite) admissible path  $x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  (necessarily unique, by Lemma 6) converging to  $x$ , such that  $x_0 = v$  and  $x_1 = v'$ .

Consider any infinite admissible path  $x'_0 x'_1 x'_2 \dots$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  starting at  $x'_0 = v, x'_1 = v'$  and converging to a point  $x' \in \Lambda$  such that  $|x' - x| \leq \kappa\beta^{-m-r}$ , where  $r$  is the depth of  $v$ . Then, by Corollary 3, the depth  $(m+r)$  approximants  $x_m, x'_m$  to  $x, x'$ , respectively, must satisfy  $\rho(x_m, x'_m) \leq 2\kappa$ . By the Separation Lemma, there is a constant  $K = K(2\kappa) < \infty$  such that any  $\rho$ -neighborhood of radius  $2\kappa$  can contain no more than  $K$  distinct vertices; hence, there are at most  $K$  possibilities for  $x'_m$ . For each such possibility  $x'_m$  there is at most one admissible path from  $v$  to  $x'_m$ , by Lemma 6, and by Equation (6) the  $\mu$ -probability of this path is no larger than  $C\lambda^{-m}$ . Thus,

$$(8) \quad \mu([x - \kappa\beta^{-m-r}, x + \kappa\beta^{-m-r}]) \leq KC\lambda^{-m}.$$

On the other hand, any admissible path that begins  $x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_m$  must converge to a point of  $[x - \kappa\beta^{-m-r}, x + \kappa\beta^{-m-r}]$ , and by Equation (6) the probability of the set of such paths is at least  $c\lambda^{-m}$ , so

$$(9) \quad \mu([x - \kappa\beta^{-m-r}, x + \kappa\beta^{-m-r}]) \geq c\lambda^{-m}.$$

□

**Theorem 1.**  $\dim_H(\Lambda) = \log \lambda / \log \beta$ .

*Proof.* The Hausdorff dimension is never larger than the box dimension, so by Lemma 1 it suffices to show that  $\dim_H(\Lambda)$  is at least as large as  $\log \lambda / \log \beta$ . The preceding lemma shows that  $\Lambda$  supports a probability measure that satisfies Eq. (7). But Frostman's Lemma (see [2], ch. 1, ex. ) implies that no measure satisfying Eq. (7) can be supported by a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension smaller than  $\log \lambda / \log \beta$ . □

## 7. THE HAUSDORFF MEASURE ON $\Lambda$

Define  $\delta = \log \lambda / \log \beta$ , and consider the  $\delta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $H_\delta$  restricted to the set  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $0 < H_\delta(\Lambda) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Consider again the covering  $\mathcal{U}_m$  of  $\Lambda$  introduced in the proof of Lemma 1. The cardinality of the covering is  $u_*^t A^{m-1} \mathbf{1}$ , by Corollary 2, and each interval in the covering has radius  $\kappa \beta^{-m}$ . It follows from the definition of the outer Hausdorff measure that

$$H_\delta(\Lambda) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u_*^t A^{m-1} \mathbf{1}) \kappa \beta^{-m\delta} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u_*^t A^{m-1} \mathbf{1}) \kappa \lambda^{-m} < \infty,$$

the last inequality because  $\lambda$  is the spectral radius of  $A$ .

The inequality  $H_\delta(\Lambda) > 0$  is a consequence of the existence of a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Lambda$  with the property (8) above. This implies that for a suitable constant  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\mu(J) \leq \gamma |J|^\delta$  for every interval  $J$  centered at a support point of  $\mu$ . Since  $\sum \mu(J) = 1$  for every covering of  $\Lambda$ , it follows that for every covering,

$$\sum |J|^\delta \geq 1/\gamma > 0.$$

□

## 8. A NUMERICAL RESULT

Consider the special case where  $\beta = \beta_2 = 1 + \sqrt{3}$  is the larger root of  $x^2 - 2x - 2 = 0$  and  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ . This is the most difficult case considered in [3]; there it is shown that for these parameters  $\Lambda$  has Lebesgue measure 0. Using the algorithm described in section 3, we have found that there are 43 distinct neighborhood types. For each pair  $\tau, \tau'$  of neighborhood types such that  $\tau'$  is an offspring of  $\tau$ , there is at most one color  $d$  such that  $(\tau, \tau', d)$  is an admissible label. Consequently, the matrix  $A$  may be “collapsed” to an equivalent matrix  $B$  with rows and columns indexed by the neighborhood types and with 0-1 entries indicating the absence or presence of admissible labels  $(\tau, \tau', d)$  of some color; the top eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $B$  is the same as the top eigenvalue of  $A$ . The eigenvalue  $\lambda$  may be approximated from above using the spectral radius formula

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \|B^n\|^{1/n};$$

using  $n = 2048$  gives the upper bounds

$$\lambda \leq 1.62967 \text{ and } \delta \leq .485923$$

A lower bound for  $\lambda$  is  $b_n^{1/n}$ , where  $b_n$  is the minimum entry of  $B^n$ ; using  $n = 2048$  gives the lower bounds

$$\lambda \geq 1.62436 \text{ and } \delta \geq .482675$$

A *Mathematica* notebook containing the code implementing the algorithms for computing the set of neighborhood types and the matrix  $B$  is available from the author.

Although the algorithm described in this paper applies in theory to any Pisot number  $\beta$  and any digit set, in practice it is useable in very few cases. Even in the next simplest case,  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$  and  $\beta = \beta_3$ , the largest root of  $x^3 - 2x^2 - 2x - 2 = 0$ , computation is impractical, as there are 4017 distinct neighborhood types.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bowen, R. (1975) *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*. Springer *Lecture Notes in Math.* **470**.
- [2] Falconer, K. (1985) *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [3] Keane, M., Smorodinsky, M., and Solomyak, B. (1995) On the morphology of  $\gamma$ -expansions with deleted digits. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347**, 955-966.
- [4] Parry, W. (1964) Intrinsic Markov chains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112**, 55-64.
- [5] Pollicott, M. and Simon, K. (1995) The Hausdorff dimension of  $\lambda$ -expansions with deleted digits. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347**, 967-983.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES BLDG., PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
*E-mail address:* lalley@stat.purdue.edu