

A NOTE ON THE MARCINKIEWICZ -
ZYGMUND STRONG LAW

by

Arup Bose
Indian Statistical Institute
and Purdue University

Technical Report #96-5

Department of Statistics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN USA

March 1996

A NOTE ON THE MARCINKIEWICZ -
ZYGMUND STRONG LAW

by

Arup Bose

Indian Statistical Institute
and Purdue University

Abstract

We prove the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong law in a general set up.

Key Words and Phrases: Strong law, three series theorem, martingale differences, stationary sequence.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 60F15, 60G42

Suppose $(X_j, j \geq 1)$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables and $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ for some $0 < p < 2$. Then the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong law of large numbers (MZSLLN) states that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n X_j = nc + o(n^{\frac{1}{p}}) \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

where $c = E(X_1)$ if $p \geq 1$, and c may be taken to be 0 if $p < 1$. A proof using the Kolmogorov's three series theorem is given in Chow and Teicher (1978, page 122, Theorem 2). When the i.i.d. assumption is dropped, a similar result does not seem to appear in explicit form in the literature. This short note establishes such a result.

Suppose (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_j, j \geq 0)$ is an increasing sequence of sub σ -fields of \mathcal{F} . Suppose $(X_j, U_j, j \geq 1)$ are random variables such that for each $j \geq 1$, U_{j+1} and X_j are \mathcal{F}_j measurable and U_j are positive. For any random variable X , define $X(c) = X I(|X| \leq c)$. All convergences are in the almost sure sense.

Proposition 1. Suppose there is a random variable X and $c > 0$ such that that for all $j \geq 1$,

$$P(|X_j| \geq x) \leq C P(|X| \geq x) \dots \dots \quad (2)$$

where $E|X|^p < \infty$ for some $0 < p < 2$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n X_j = c_n + o(n^{\frac{1}{p}}) \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (3)$$

where $c_n = \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$ if $p > 1$, $c_n = 0$ if $p < 1$, and $c_n = \sum_{j=1}^n E X_j I(|X_j| \leq j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$ if $p = 1$. □

Remark 1. The result for $p = 1$ is given in Theorem 2.19 of Hall and Heyde (1980) where it is further shown that in this case if c_n is replaced by $c_n^* = \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$ then (1) holds only in probability. This probability convergence can be strengthened to almost sure convergence under any of the following: a) $E(|X| \log^+ |X|) < \infty$, b) $(X_j, j \geq 1)$ are independent, c) $(X_j, j \geq 1)$ and $(E(X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}), j \geq 2)$ are stationary. □

Define the variables $(Y_j, j \geq 1)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j &= U_j^{-1} X_j I(|X_j| \leq U_j) \\ &= U_j^{-1} X_j (U_j) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $j \geq 1$, Y_j is \mathcal{F}_j measurable and $|Y_j| \leq 1$. Consider the following three series:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (Y_j - E(Y_j|\mathcal{F}_{j-1})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-1} (X_j(a_j) - E(X_j(a_j)|\mathcal{F}_{j-1})) \\
T_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(U_j^{-1} X_j \neq Y_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(|X_j| > U_j) \\
T_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (U_j^{-1} X_j - E(Y_j|\mathcal{F}_{j-1})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-1} (X_j - E(X_j(a_j)|\mathcal{F}_{j-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

Define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(|X_j| \geq U_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \right\} \\
D_2 &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(Y_j^2 | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-2} E(X_j^2(U_j) | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma is an easy consequence of the conditional three series theorem. We omit its proof.

Lemma 1. T_2 converges on D_1 , and T_1 and T_3 converge on $D_1 \cap D_2$. □

Proof of the Proposition. Let $p \neq 1$ and $U_j = j^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in Lemma 1.

By condition (2),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(|X_j| \geq j^{\frac{1}{p}}) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(|X|^p \geq j) < \infty \dots \dots \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(Y_j^2) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2/p} E(X_j^2 I(|X_j| \leq j^{1/p})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2/p} \int_0^{j^{1/p}} P(X_j^2 \geq x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2/p} \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{(k-1)^{1/p}}^{k^{1/p}} P(X^2 \geq x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(k-1)^{1/p}}^{k^{1/p}} P(X^2 \geq x) dx \left(k^{-2/p} + \frac{p}{2-p} k^{-2/p+1} \right) \\ &\leq 2/p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-2/p} + \left(\frac{p}{2-p} \right) k^{-2/p+1} \right) \int_{(k-1)^{1/2}}^{k^{1/2}} P(|X|^p \geq y) y^{2/p-1} dy \\ &\leq 2/p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} + \frac{p}{(2-p)} \right) \int_{(k-1)^{1/2}}^{k^{1/2}} P(|X|^p \geq y) dy \\ &\leq C_p \int_0^{\infty} P(|X|^p \geq y) dy < \infty \dots \dots \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Since (4) and (5) are satisfied, by Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} (X_j - E(X_j I(|X_j| \leq j^{1/p}) | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})) < \infty \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (6)$$

Now assume that $p > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} |E X_j I(|X_j| > j^{1/p}) | \mathcal{F}_{j-1} | \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} E[|X_j| I(|X_j| > j^{1/p})] \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \int_{(k-1)^{1/p}}^{k^{1/p}} P(|X_j| \geq x) dx \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^{-1/p} \int_{(k-1)^{1/p}}^{k^{1/p}} P(|X| \geq x) dx \\
& \leq \frac{Cp}{(p-1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)^{(p-1)/p} \int_{(k-1)^{1/p}}^{k^{1/p}} P(|X| \geq x) dx \\
& \leq C(p) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)^{(p-1)/p} \int_{(k-1)}^k P(|X|^p \geq y) y^{(\frac{1}{p}-1)} dy \\
& = C(p) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{(k-1)}^k P(|X|^p \geq y) dy < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thus if $p > 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} E X_j I(|X_j| > j^{1/p} | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (7)$$

Hence from (7) and (6), if $p > 1$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_j - E(X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})}{j^{1/p}} < \infty \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (8)$$

If $p < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} |EX_j I(|X_j| \leq j^{1/p} | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2/p} E(X_j^2 J(|X_j| \leq j^{1/p} | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})) \\ & < \infty \text{ by (5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $p < 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/p} X_j < \infty \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (9)$$

Combining (8) and (9) proves the Proposition after an application of Kronecker's Lemma. \square

Remark 2. It is clear from the above proof that if $(X_j, \mathcal{F}_j, j \geq 1)$ is a martingale difference sequence, then for $U_n \uparrow \infty$, (in particular for $U_j = j^{1/p}$),

$$\sum_{k=1}^n X_k = o(U_n) \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (10)$$

if the following conditions hold:

$$(C1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(|X_j| \geq U_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \text{ almost surely}$$

$$(C2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-2} EX_j^2 I(|X_j| \leq U_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \text{ almost surely}$$

$$(C3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-1} EX_j I(|X_j| \leq U_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \text{ almost surely.} \quad \square$$

One may compare this with Theorem 2.18 given in Hall and Heyde (1980) where it is shown that (10) holds if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j^{-p} E(|X_j|^p | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) < \infty \text{ almost surely } \dots \dots \quad (10)$$

for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

This is clearly not enough to establish Proposition 1 since U_j must be chosen to equal $j^{1/p}$ and in that case the series in (10) is $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} E(|X_j|^p | \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$ whose convergence is not guaranteed under the conditions given. On the other hand it is easy to see that if (10) holds for any $(U_j, j \geq 1)$, then (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold. \square

References

- Chow, Y. S. and Teicher, H. (1978). *Probability Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Hall, P. and Heyde, C. C. (1980). *Martingale limit theory and its applications*. Academic Press, New York.