

DISTRIBUTION OF BROWNIAN LOCAL TIME ON CURVES

by

Burgess Davis
Purdue University

Technical Report #96-38

Department of Statistics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN USA

September, 1996

DISTRIBUTION OF BROWNIAN LOCAL TIME ON CURVES

by

Burgess Davis

Summary: If f is a smooth nondecreasing function on $[0, 1]$, the local time L_f spent by standard Brownian motion on the graph of f satisfies $P(L_f < x) \geq P(2L_0 < x)$, $x > 0$. The 2 is best possible.

Introduction: This paper is concerned throughout with the local time of a standard Brownian motion (B_t) on the graphs of functions f defined on $[0, 1]$, f smooth enough so that the paths of $B_t - f(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, are Brownian paths. Girsanov's theorem characterizes these functions. The local time spent by (B_t) on the graph of f up to time s is defined as the local time spent by $(B_t - f(t))$ at 0 up to time s , and designated $L_f(s)$. We shorten $L_f(1)$ to L_f .

We use $\bar{\approx}$ to designate equality of distribution of random variables, and $X \lesssim Y$ means $P(X < t) \geq P(Y < t)$, $t \leq r$. The purpose of this note is to answer a question raised by C. Burdzy and J. San Martin in [1], namely, is $L_f \lesssim L_0$ whenever f is nondecreasing. The answer is, almost. We prove

Theorem 1. *If f is nondecreasing on $[0, 1]$, then $L_f \lesssim 2L_0$. The constant 2 is the smallest possible.*

Of course, the distribution of L_0 is the distribution of $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t$, and is explicitly known. It is easy to construct rapidly oscillating functions which show that no distributional comparison along the lines of Theorem 1 is possible, if the restriction that f be nondecreasing is entirely removed.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (\mathcal{F}_t) be the filtration of (B_t) . First we give the examples which verify the last sentence of Theorem 1. The joint density of $(L_t/\sqrt{t}, B_t/\sqrt{t})$, which is the same for all t , is given on page 45 of [2], and it is easy to use this to show

$$(1) \quad P(B_t > a\sqrt{t} | L_0(t) < \epsilon\sqrt{t}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } a \rightarrow \infty, \\ \text{uniformly in } \epsilon > 0.$$

Let $\alpha_n = 1 - n^{-1}$, and define the functions g_n and h_n on $[0, 1]$ by $g_n = n^2 t$, and $h_n(t) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq \alpha_n, h_n(t) = n^2(t - \alpha_n), \alpha_n \leq t \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon_n > 0$ be so small that

$$(2) \quad P(L_{g_n}(n^{-2}) > \varepsilon_n) > \alpha_n.$$

Put $\tau_n = \inf(t > \alpha_n : B_t = h_n(t))$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \{\tau_n < 1 - n^{-2}\}, \\ C_n &= \{L_0(\alpha_n) < \varepsilon_n\}, \text{ and} \\ D_n &= \{0 < B_{\alpha_n} < \sqrt{n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

There are constants $z_n, n \geq 1$, such that

$$(3) \quad z_n < P(A_n | \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_n}) < 1 \text{ on } D_n, \text{ and } z_n \rightarrow 1.$$

By (2), there are constants $w_n, n \geq 1$, such that

$$(4) \quad w_n < P(D_n | \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_n}) < \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } C_n, \text{ and } w_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $\Gamma_n = \{L_{h_n} - L_{h_n}(\alpha_n) > \varepsilon_n\}$, and note, by (2) (and the strong Markov property) that

$$(5) \quad P(\Gamma_n | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}) > \alpha_n \text{ on } A_n.$$

Now $P(L_{h_n} < \varepsilon_n) \leq P(C_n) - P(C_n \cap \Gamma_n)$, and $P(C_n)/P(L_0 < \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so to prove

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(L_{h_n} < \varepsilon_n)/P(L_0 < \varepsilon_n) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

it suffices to prove

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\Gamma_n | C_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad P(\Gamma_n \cap C_n) &\geq P(\Gamma_n \cap C_n \cap A_n \cap D_n) \\ &= P(\Gamma_n | C_n \cap A_n \cap D_n) P(A_n | C_n \cap D_n) P(D_n | C_n) P(C_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\Gamma_n | C_n \cap A_n \cap D_n) \\
&= EP(\Gamma_n | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}) I(C_n \cap A_n \cap D_n) / P(C_n \cap A_n \cap D_n) \\
&\geq \alpha_n,
\end{aligned}$$

using (5). Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(A_n | C_n \cap D_n) \\
&= EP(A_n | \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_n}) I(C_n \cap D_n) / P(C_n \cap D_n) \\
&\geq z_n,
\end{aligned}$$

using (3). In addition (4) implies $P(D_n | C_n) \geq w_n$. These three inequalities together with the estimates obtained for α_n, z_n , and w_n , when plugged into (8), yield (7) and thus (6). And (6), together with the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(L_0 \leq c\epsilon_n) / P(L_0 \leq \epsilon_n) = c$, for any constant c , show that the functions h_n may be used to verify that the constant 2 of Theorem 1 may not be replaced by a smaller one.

Now the first sentence of Theorem 1 will be proved. For notational convenience, we both assume $f(0) = 0$, and extend f to $[0, \infty)$ by defining $f(t) = f(1), t > 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_0^{f,\epsilon} &= 0, \\
\tau_{2i+1}^{f,\epsilon} &= \inf\{t \geq \tau_{2i}^{f,\epsilon} : |B_t - f(t)| = \epsilon\}, i \geq 0, \text{ and} \\
\tau_{2i}^{f,\epsilon} &= \inf\{t \geq \tau_{2i-1}^{f,\epsilon} : B_t = f(t)\}, i \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $N_{f,\epsilon} = \sup\{k : \tau_{2k} \leq 1\}$. Then $N_{f,\epsilon}$ is the sum of the downcrossings of $[0, \epsilon]$ by $B_t - f(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, plus the upcrossings of $[-\epsilon, 0]$ by $B_t - f(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, and thus (see page 222 of [2]),

$$(9) \quad L_f = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\epsilon/2) N_{f,\epsilon}.$$

Let $X \cong \tau_1^{0,1}, Y \cong \tau_2^{0,1} - \tau_1^{0,1}$, and let R be a random variable such that $P(R = 1) = P(R = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Of course, Y has the distribution of $\inf\{t > 0 : B_t = -1\}$. Let $X_i, i \geq 1, \tilde{X}_i, i \geq 1, Y_i, i \geq 1$, and $R_i, i \geq 1$, be independent sequences of independent and identically distributed random variables, the individual variables having the distributions of X, X, Y , and R respectively.

If $\Gamma_i, i \geq 1$, are nonnegative random variables, and $t > 0$, we put $\Theta_\Gamma(t) = \sup\{k > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i \leq t\}$. Put $Z_i = X_i + \tilde{X}_i + 4Y_i R_i, i \geq 1$, and $W_i = 4Y_i R_i, i \geq 1$.

We claim

$$(10) \quad \Theta_Z(\varepsilon^{-2}) \stackrel{\approx}{\sim} N_{0,\varepsilon},$$

and

$$(11) \quad \Theta_W(\varepsilon^{-2}) \stackrel{\approx}{\sim} N_{f,2\varepsilon}.$$

Before proving (10) and (11), we show how they can be used to prove the first statement of Theorem 1. It is not difficult to use $EX_i = E\tilde{X}_i < \infty$, and $EY_iR_i = \infty$, to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_Z(t)/\Theta_W(t) = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

From this, scaling, (9), (10), and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(L_f < x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P(\varepsilon N_{f,2\varepsilon} < x) \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P(\varepsilon \Theta_W(\varepsilon^{-2}) < x) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P(\varepsilon \Theta_Z(\varepsilon^{-2}) < x) \\ &= P(L_0 < x/2), \end{aligned}$$

the second equality since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \Theta_Z(\varepsilon^{-2})$ has a continuous distribution, namely, the distribution of L_0 .

Now we prove (10). Let

$$v_i^{0,\varepsilon} = \inf\{t \geq \tau_{2i-1}^{0,\varepsilon} : |B_t - B_{\tau_{2i-1}^{0,\varepsilon}}| = \varepsilon\}.$$

Then $\varepsilon^{-2}(\tau_{2i-1}^{0,\varepsilon} - \tau_{2i-2}^{0,\varepsilon}) \stackrel{\approx}{\cong} X$, $\varepsilon^{-2}(v_i^{0,\varepsilon} - \tau_{2i-1}^{0,\varepsilon}) = X$, and $\varepsilon^{-2}(\tau_i^{0,\varepsilon}) \stackrel{\approx}{\cong} 4Y_iR_i$, the last equality since $\tau_{2i}^{0,\varepsilon} = v_i^{0,\varepsilon}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, and $|B_{v_i^{0,\varepsilon}}| = 2\varepsilon$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus $\varepsilon^{-2}(\tau_{2i}^{0,\varepsilon} - \tau_{2i-2}^{0,\varepsilon}) \stackrel{\approx}{\cong} X_i + \tilde{X}_i + 4R_iY_i$, all the independence being provided by the strong Markov property. The strong Markov property also provides the additional independence necessary to extend this last equality to (10).

Finally (11) is proved. Since f is nondecreasing, the conditional probability, given $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{2i}^{f,2\varepsilon}}$, that $B_{\tau_{2i+1}^{f,2\varepsilon}} = f(B_{\tau_{2i}^{f,\varepsilon}}) - 2\varepsilon$, exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, again because f is nondecreasing, if this happens, then $\tau_{2i+2}^{f,2\varepsilon} \geq \inf\{t > \tau_{2i+1}^{f,2\varepsilon} : B_t = f(B_{\tau_{2i+1}^{f,2\varepsilon}}) + 2\varepsilon\}$, the only

possibility of equality being if f is linear on some interval. Thus $\varepsilon^{-2}(\tau_{2i+2}^{f,2\varepsilon} - \tau_{2i}^{f,2\varepsilon}) \gtrsim 4Y_i R_i$. Furthermore this distributional inequality holds conditionally given $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{2i}^{f,2\varepsilon}}$, and now (11) follows.

The author thanks Jaime San Martin for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

- [1] Burdzy, K. and San Martin, J. Iterated law of iterated logarithm. *Ann. Prob.* 23 (1995) pp. 1627–1643.
- [2] Itô, K., and McKean, H.P. Diffusion Processes and their sample paths. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1965.